15

20

25

30

35

1

WOOD IMPREGNATION

The present invention relates to a wood-polymer composite, particularly wood which has been impregnated with a mixture containing polymerizable organic compounds, and more particularly to a method for preparing a wood-polymercomposite by impregnating wood material and/or wood-based material with a mixture containing polymerizable organic compounds, and most particularly uses thereof.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Wood-polymer composites of this category is based on polymerization of a monomer in the cavities of the cells (in-situ). The polymer produced is usually a 10 thermoplastic (linear polymer). Monomers which belong to the vinyl monomer group are the ones usually used for this purpose. The vinyl monomers used are polymerized by means of radical chain polymerization. The vinyl monomers are nonpolar, and will therefore not swell or react with the cell wall of the wood material. Some persons skilled in the art therefore claim that wood-polymer materials of this type are not «real» composites because there is no chemical bonding between the two combined materials. However, there is a high extent of infiltration and the material acquires altered physical and mechanical properties, and hence should be defined as a novel type of material. Properties which are substantially increased are density, hardness, wear resistance and elastic modulus. Liquid water and water vapor movements in the new material are greatly reduced, especially along the grain. In wood, transport along the grain is many times greater than across the grain, but in the new material they are about equal. Because the polymer does not react with the structure of the cell walls, the basic properties of the woody cell wall are not changed. Because the pores of the wood are blocked by polymer, the moisure can only move within the unchanged woody cell wall, in spaces between the cell wall and the polymer in the lumen, or in any cracks that might be in the material. The time for humidification or drying of cell lumen wood-polymer composites will therefore be 10 to 20 times longer than for untreated wood. This delay is an advantage in changing environments (especially a spill of liquid water). Because of the longer time, and because the polymer may induce a mechanical restraint of swelling, the dimensional stability of the new material is slightly improved. However, this can lead to local stresses in the material, which can result in microcracks when the material is subjected to extreme moisture gradients.

The monomers usually utilized for cell lumen wood-polymer composite are methyl methacrylate and styrene and unsaturated polyester oligomers, because of large supply of these chemicals and hence their low cost, as well as the relatively simple

10

30

35

method of polymerizing them. Negative aspects of methyl methacrylate monomer are its subtantial shrinkage (up to 25%) during polymerization and relatively high vapor pressure (it evaporates easily). Negative aspects of styrene monomer are its high vapor pressure (it evaporates easily) and easily-detectable odour (low odour threshold). A negative aspect of polyester oligomer is its higher viscosity which limits impregnation possibilities.

Monomer evaporation can lead to a low filling of surfaces by monomer. Monomer shrinkage can lead to substantail shrinkage and warping of materials during cure, and can cause voids between polymer and cell wall. By selecting different types of monomers these problems may be reduced.

One problem of previously known wood-polymer composites of this category is that after impregnation and curing, they continue to liberate vinyl monomers which have an easily-detected odour and which can be irritating and injurious to health.

- Other problems of previously known wood-polymer composites are cracking,
 warping and colour alteration of the materials. These indicate that temperatures of
 the curing phase caused by exothermic heat of reaction have been too high,
 resulting in excess shrinkage and pyrolysis damage to the wood. The problem is
 increased dramatically with increased cross-sectional size, and in the past has
 limited the size of material which could be successfully treated.
- Another problem of previously known wood-polymer composites results from a combination of moisture in the wood and the curing heat. Because of the exothermic heat of reaction, the temperature, especially of larger cross-sections, exceeds the boiling point of water. This causes rapid drying, followed by warping and cracking in moist wood. For this reason, previous art successful treatment has been generally limited to dry (6% moisture content and less) wood.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is the object of the invention to provide a polymer impregnated wood of higher moisture content and having improved hardness, which also has low odour, and further, little cracking is observed in the finished material, thus eliminating the above disadvantages.

Essentially, this object is accomplished by using a wood material as a starting material having a moisture content from about 3% to about 90%, in particular from about 15% to about 35%, especially from about 20% to about 30%, and preferably about 25%, and subjecting said starting material to specific polymerizable monomer formulations and impregnation and curing conditions.

10

15

ONSA

The specific mixture of polymerizable monomers and additives combined with a specific curing temperature schedule is important for producing odourless materials.

When wood-polymer composites are manufactured, moisture may be a problem, because the reaction exotherm causes temperatures above the boiling point of water in larger cross sections regardless of the heating method used. Rapid dehydration results. This causes deformations and cracks.

An essential feature of the present invention is to use starting wood materials having high moisture content. It is not prior knowledge to produce wood-polymer composites using moist wood as the starting material.

Wood, including cheap types and scrap material, can be used to produce noble wood materials such as imitation teak, mahogany, and others, and also provide them with novel properties like water resistance and simpler and reduced maintenance requirements. Since the monomers used are colourless, colours can be added, and thereby the finished product can be coloured throughout

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

According to the present invention, the foregoing and other objects are attained by a product, method and uses thereof as disclosed in the patent claims.

An embodiment of this invention comprises a wood-polymer composite,

characterized by wood impregnated with polymerizable monomers selected from a
group consisting of styrene, methylstyrene and tertiary butylstyrene, initiated with
at least three initiators; crosslinked with divinyl benzene, ethylene glycol
dimethacrylate, 1,3-butylene glycol dimethacrylate, ethylene glycol trimethacrylate
or trimethylol propane trimethacrylate and containing oil or wax as a

polymerization moderator and water repellent for the finished product.

A second embodiment of this invention comprises a method for preparing a wood-polymer composite by impregnating wood material and/or wood-based material, characterized by the steps of:

utilizing said wood material having a moisture content of from about 15 to
about 35% based on the weight of said wood material; impregnating said wood
material with a composition selected from a group consisting of polymerizable
monomers, a crosslinker and at least three initiators by means of vacuum and/or
pressure; and curing said impregnated wood material in a hot air oven, steam or hot
oil by means of a temperature sufficient to achieve the desired polymer loading.

4

A third embodiment of this invention comprises use of wood-polymer composite according to any of claims 1 to 9 or as manufactured according to any of claims 10 to 18, as a doorstep, doorlaminate, floor, handle, building board, pallet, acoustic wood, outdoor furniture, indoor furniture, container floor, play apparatus, benchtop, outdoor deck material, stair and railing material, fence stakes, or timber.

Particularly, said timber is a railway sleeper, especially an environmentally-friendly railway sleeper. Also, said timber can be a pole, especially an environmentally-friendly pole.

However, the use of said wood-polymer composite should not be restricted to those indicated above, but can include generally all kinds of use of wood products.

To obtain complete cure and low emissions from the finished product, it was found that a combination of initiators is needed. A lower temperature initiator starts the reaction, a second (higher temperature) carries it on and a third (highest temperature) finishes it. In most of these categories, alternative initiators may be used, depending on the reaction conditions, plant safety, cost and end-use requirements. Oil or wax is used to reduce the reaction exotherm during polymerization for the larger sizes of material, and also to act as water repellant for the material. The oil or wax has an additional benefit in that it is lower cost than monomer, reducing cost of the treatment.

- The main monomers (styrene, methylstyrene (particularly para-methylstyrene) and tertiary butylstyrene) can be used alone or in any combination. The main advantage of methylstyrene and tertiary butylstyrene is their lower vapor pressure and thus lower emissions in the production plant and the finished product.
- Quantities of the initiators and crosslinkers used depend on product, process and end-use but are between a minimum and maximun value for each. Below the minimum value it will not work; above the maximum value and the formulation will work but it is unnecessary and costly. Quantity of oil or wax is dependent on product size, curing conditions and desired end-use properties.

The mixtures used are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Chemical	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	T	T	Function
	chemical (or	chemical (or	chemical (or	chemical		1	
	alternate	second	third	(or fourth	ļ	l	
	chemical	alternate	alternate	alternate	ŀ	1	
		chemical)	chemical)	chemical)			ļ
Styrene	Methylstyrene	Tertiary			 		Main
•	(vinyl toluene)	butylstyrene		l	•		monomers
,					Minimum	Maximum	
					% based	% based	
)	ОП	ОЛ	
	·				monomer	monomer	
2,2'-Azobis(2-	Benzoyl	2,2'-	2,2'-Azobis(2-	1	0.1	0.3	Lower
methylbutane-	peroxide	Azobis(2,4-	methylpropanc				temperature
nitrile		dimethyl-	nitrile				initiator
		pentaneniitrile		L			J
1,14			1	l	0.1	0.3	Higher
azobis(cyano-					1.		temperature
cyclobexane-			}		Ì		initiator
carbonitrile)							
Tertiary butyl	Di-tertiary	1.	1		0.5	1.5	Highest
perbenzoate	butyl peroxide]	temperature
·		ļ					initiator
Di-tertiary butyl	ļ	1		,	0.5	1.5	Highest
peroxide				l		<u> </u>	temperature
		·	1	ĺ			initiator
				ł			(for high-
			•	ł			temperature
		<u></u>					curing)
Divinyl benzene	Ethylene glycol	Ethylene	1,3-butylene	Trimethylol	2	5	Crosslinker
	dimeth-	glycol tri-	glycol	ргорале			
	acrylate	methacrylate	dimethacrylate	trimeth-			
<u> </u>	<u> </u>			acrylate			
Petroleum oil	Vegetable oil	Petroleum wax	Vegetable wax		0	50	Additive

The following Examples will further illustrate the invention.

EXAMPLES

5 BEECH TIMBERS

Materials and methods

Six beech railway sleepers were used for the experiment. Some of these were cut into smaller samples and some were treated whole.

Type of wood: Beech (Fagus sylvatica)

10 The dimensions of samples from the six beech sleepers were as follows:

Small samples: 65x55x280 mm, 96 pieces; Medium samples: 55x145x800 mm; 12 pieces;

Large samples: 240x145x800 mm; 3 pieces; «Full scale»: 240x145x1700 mm; 3 pieces.

Table 2 shows the dry-density and moisture profile of the sleepers as used in the experiment. As seen in Table 2, the sleepers had high moisture content. There was also great variation in moisture content among the sleepers and within each individual sleeper. Each of the six parts of Table 2 represent a cross section of an individual sleeper. It was expected that variations in moisture content also would be found in the longitudinal direction of each individual sleeper. Average drydensity of the sleepers was 663 kg/m³ ±39 kg/m³.

Table 2. Sample number (upper) dry-density in kg/m³ (center) and moisture content (lowest number) of the individual control samples taken from the cross section of the six sleepers

	1	624	33,4%		2	662	30,2%
1	3	5	7	1	3	5	7
611	626	629	586	647	653	650	630
27,7%	36,9%	36,1%	31,7%	28,69	6 32,3%	30,7%	27,9%
2	4	6.	8	, 2	4	6	8
630	621	661	628	652	731	672	660
30,0%	36,2%	37,9%	30,7%	25,8%	6 33,7%	35,5%	27,2%
	3	677	30,2%		4	671	27,3%
1	3	5	7	1	3	5	7
714	697	687	659	695	708	683	646
30,5%	37,9%	37,1%	25,3%	25,5%	4 34,6%	29,6%	22,3%
2	4	6	8	2	4	6	8
664	666	659	673	708	662	660	604
23,7%	31,2%	29,3%	26,4%	24,0%	6 30,4%	27,4%	24,5%
	5	704	38,2%		6	640	28,1%
1	3	5	7	1	3	5	7
699	679	664	645	660	659	602	609
38,5%	39,6%	33,1%	35,0%	26,3%		27,2%	27,5%
2 ·	4	6	8	2	4	6	8
806	717	721	702	658	643	638	651
41,0%	41,7%	43,1%	33,8%	29,0%	30,7%	28,8%	27,6%

Impregnation

15 For all the treatments, «full-cell» impregnation was used. The wood product was

10

15

20

25

30

35

ONSA

placed in an autoclave and a vacuum of from about 0,01 to about 0,03 bar was established for a specific time (t_v) prior to filling the vessel with an impregnating fluid. When the fluid was in, atmospheric pressure was re-established and then an overpressure of about 7,5 bar was produced. The overpressure was maintained for a specific time (t_v) .

Curing

All curing of monomer was carried out in a hot air oven having set temperatures of 70 and 140°C. Because the oven had residual heat in the heating elements, the temperature rose to 80°C after operation of several hours at a set temperature of 70°C. Nevertheless, the temperature of the curing is referred to as 70°C.

Completed treatments and evaluations thereof

Twenty-five different treatments were carried out. Each treatment was varied as regards the size of the wood materials, proportion of chemicals in the mixture, time of vacuum and pressure during the impregnating processes, as well as temperature and time of the curing processes. Four steps of the test were completed. A short description and evaluation of them is outlined hereinafter.

Step 1

Step 1 is comprised of sixteen different treatments of six small samples of each individual treatment. A sample from each sleeper was used such that variation of the wood materials of the different treatments were distributed as evenly as possible. These sixteen treatments were the basis for evaluation of impregnating quality, as well as evaluation of chemistry and curing completeness. Different times of vacuum and pressure were used. These are summarized in Table 3. The treatments can be divided into four main groups; a: treatment 1-4, b: treatment 5-8, c: treatment 9-12, d: treatment 13-16.

Group a

Group a was treated using the first chemical formula. Time of vacuum was short, 5-8 min. Time of pressure was varied from 5 to 18 min. Time of curing at 70°C was varied from 3 to 6,5 h. Time of post-curing at 140°C was about 4 h. The conversion level of these treatments was low, thus indicating high evaporation of monomer during the curing process. Exothermic heat of reaction was not observed, indicating that the polymerization was not complete. No odour after completed curing was recognized, indicating very low values of residual monomer. As the samples dried, they shrank. The drying was severe, so it produced cracks in the materials. Residual moisture was estimated to be 0%.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Group b

After evaluation of group a, the concentration of initiator in the monomer mixture was increased. This was an attempt to initiate curing earlier. Time of vacuum was prolonged to 11-15 min. Time of pressure was varied from 4 to 19 min. Time of curing at 70°C was varied from 6 to 10 h. Time of post-curing at 140°C was 6 h. The samples were cooled before post-curing. During the longer curing time at 70°C, exothermic heat of reaction was detected. For treatments numbers 6, 7 and 8 this was observed after 7 h wherein the core temperature of the materials exceeded the surface temperature of the samples. No odour after completed post-curing at 140°C was recognized. Moisture reduction and crack formation were the same as observed for group a.

Group c

After evaluation of group b, curing at 70°C without post-curing was done. The same chemical formula as for group b was used. Time of curing at 70°C was prolonged to 17-20 h to observe when the exothermic reaction occurred and how high the core temperature became. The core temperature exceeded the surface temperature after 5-7 h with a maximum occuring after 8,5-11 h. Time of vacuum was slightly reduced to 8,5-11 min. Time of pressure was varied from 4,5 to 28 min. Measuring was done after curing at 70°C. The odour was «medium» after curing at 70°C. This indicated residual monomers which have not reacted. To obtain consistent evaluation of polymer uptake, the samples were later post-cured at 140°C, but this is not reported in Table 3.

Group d

After evaluation of group c, it was decided to use another initiator in an attempt to start polymerization at an earlier stage and possibly increase conversion. For this group, measurements and evaluation were made after curing at 70°C. Post-curing at 140°C was carried out at a later stage to obtain consistent evaluation of polymer uptake and conversion. Time of vacuum was reduced to 5-12 min. Time of pressure was varied from 0,5 to 4,5 min. Time of curing at 70°C was varied from 10 to 12 h. Dramatically shortening of the curing process was observed. The time until the core temperature exceeded the surface temperature was about 2 h, and the maximum temperature was observed according to 4,5 to 5 h. This effected the odour after treatment. The odour was classified as «low» which indicates a high level of conversion. Calculated conversion rose dramatically from 72% for groups a-c to 88% for group d. The new initiator was successful in reducing the reaction time and increasing conversion.

10

15

20

25

9

Step 2

Step 2 is comprised of three individual treatments of sleepers 1, 2 and 3. Details are given in Table 4, treatment numbers 17, 18 and 19.

Time of vacuum was varied from 11 to 14,5 min., and time of pressure was varied from 2,5 to 6,3 min. For the three treatments, temperature of the oven stabilized at 76 to 82°C. This was held for 18 h. The samples had a zone about 4 mm deep on the surface which had low polymer content, indicating monomer evaporation.

Half of all samples of step 2 were post-cured at 140°C for 20 h. This produced greater shrinkage, deformation and internal cracks in the materials. In addition, the core was dark brown as a result of the high temperature during such a long time. The internal cracks were not visible at the surface. The cracks had the same appearance as those produced by drying wood using conditions that are too severe. Post-curing resulted in a residual moisture of 0%. It is not possible to notice any odour from the materials and residual monomers were therefore considered to be at a very low level. This demonstrates the chemical mixture clearly affects the result.

Step 3

Step 3 was comprised of three individual treatments of large samples (full cross section) of sleeper 1, 2 and 3. Details are given in Table 4, treatments numbers 20, 21 and 22. Time of vacuum was varied from 5 to 7,5 min. For the three treatments, temperature of the oven stabilized at 84 to 88°C. This was held for 19-22 h.

Step 4

Step 4 was comprised of three individual treatments of «full scale» samples of sleepers 4, 5 and 6. Details are given in Table 4, treatments numbers 23, 24 and 25. Time of vacuum was varied from 18 to 23 min. and time of pressure was varied from 8 to 9,5 min. For the three treatments, temperature of the oven stabilized at 86 to 88°C. This was held for 19-23 h.

ONȘA

Trestment No:	-	7	~	4	M	9	7	00	σ.	10	=	12	E	4	15	91
Samples	Secre	Straff	small	small		Small	Smell	Small	sma]]	डलवा	s.mail	small	smsil	Small	Stuall	small
Number	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	۰	•	ø	•	•	•	9	9	•
Topregnating																
PooW	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Booch	Broch	Beech	Resch	Beech	Brech	Beerh	Horse P	Boseh	Reach	Z drank
Moisture	The Sair			TI PERMIT	AL POST	-		110000	-							
Denoite						illogom.	measur.	incosm.	HICASH.	measu.	incesim.	III CAPIE	incom.	meason.	Jucasur.	measur.
Zensiny .	urcasur.	MCZSU.	ی	measur.	mean.		measur.	measur.		MCBStr.	measur,	measur.	mesur.	measur.	theastu.	MEZSIL.
Vacuum	0,05	50,0	0,05	0,05	50,0	0,0S	0.05	0,03	20,0	0,05	0,05	50,0	50,0	20,0	50,0	0 ,05
Pressure	۲-	!-	7	1	۲-	۲	7	-	۲	٠	٠	1	r	•		
Time vacuum < 0, 1 bar (min.)	27	6.7	6,3	7.8	11.2	11.6	13.1	14.9	8.6	9.6	10.1	10.8	63	49	5.2	611
Time messure 7 bar (min.)	8.4	00		18.7	. [-	7.5	16.8	10.4	4	6	13.8	28.0	9	. . .	4	. Y
lot time mocess (min.)	7 %	200	17.3	410	, Z	2 2	64	V 87	, 80	, ,	, e		2	7,7	2,50	֓֞֞֜֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓
(200)	2		١		200	214	F	2	20,04		2018			3		2410
rical source	Š	9	_	E A	OVER	Oven	Oven O	Oven	OVER	OVED	0460	0,000	OVER	Oven	Oven	oven
Temperature 1	Z	76	92	76	76	78	2	8	80	83	22	82	8	8	200	80
Temperature 2	136	140	140	140	136	140	140	140	; c	c	c	•	; =	.	:	;
Time forms (A)	? •	:			}	2	:	2		,	\$,	> ;	,	> :	
Time the second of the	٠ ;		- (5 7	۰ ۷	Į.,	;	2,	3 <	2	Ž (8,0	2 4	٠ د	0,1	7,7
time lead 2 (a)	7.	7.5	ı	4,2	٥	۰	۰	٥	¢	0		0	٥	0	0	0
Chemicals		•														
Vinyl-toluene	58,0%	58.0%	%0°85	58,0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	28.0%	\$8.0%	58.0%	28.0%	58.0%	58.0%	\$8.0%
Silyneas	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25 5%	25.5%	25.5%	25 5%	25.5%
· (C	16.5%	705 y I	16.5%	14.4%	765 91	% y y !	16.5%	765 91	16.595	16 594	76.7	784	14 544	78.5 7.1	16.594	16.50%
}_	10 m	7000	30.01	100.001	70000	100.001	100.00	200	100.00			70001	10.5	90.00	200	20000
CVR /K1%)	7001	1007	1000	2 100/	100/	700	7007	2000	7007	2001	1000		1 100,004	1 100,0	P 2007	0/0001
(62.74)	2,10%	7,107	2017	2016	5,10%	2,1076	3,10%	2.103	0,107e	2010	3°1070	2,10%	5,10%	2,10%	2,10%	5,10%
1,1 -azoms (cyclonexano-	% 0 0	*07°0	20%	0,20%	0,35%	0,33%	4,550	6,33%	922%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35%	% 72 0	0,20%	0,20%	0.20%
caroonimie)			,													
DIBP (98%)	0,30%	0,30%	%0°C	%0°,	0,30%	0.30%	0,30%	0,30%	20%	0,30%	0,30%	20%	20%	%0E'0.	0,30%	%000
2,2'-azobis (2,4 dimethyl-								,				_	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%
pentancoitrile)																
Messurements		. .							•							
Moisture	25%	25%	25%	25%	24%	24%	24%	24%	20%	20%	20%	20%	%91	16%	16%	16%
weight (1) g	725	27.5	756	618	742	ğ	735	292	724	746	732	780	7	712	685	713
Averaght (2) g	1015	EROI	1107	1157	2691	1129	1060	1096	901	1901	1074	1115	107	1073	1022	1066
burlohi (3) o	}	}		:	780	10.	250	1,40	Š	240	810	ayo	y S	1001		qq
(A) (A) (A) (A)	787	840	698	282	2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	, &C	798		ž	£ 5	2 2	8 8	820	888	924
Celesiations					-									200	200	
	700.00	70 407	100 04		75000	700,000		,,,,,	3	i de	76.77			700 02		707 67
	20,0%	K 1 'K 1	00000	20	20,00	27,77	20'50	2	24.4		2100	200	27.10	20,07	8 1''A	24,70
Polymer loading	%/ Y	28,4%	477 X	34,5%	47.4	35,7%	37,7%	31.1%	\$	35,576	2	7 7 7	20,00	52,6%	30,4%	% Y OC
Level of conversion	71,4%	17.3%	73,3%	21.1%	71.8%	68,3%	72,4%	69,3%	78.1%	78,8%	13,7%	67.5%	8778	89,8%	88,3%	81,6%
Hardness I	4 , &;	4 8,	5,0	4,6	₹	5,4	5,2	Α. «Σ	4 J	4. 4.	4.6	4, ان	5,3	r,	5,1	5,2
Hardness 2 (4 mm into sample)	7	6,3	₹.,	7,0	5,7	2 ,2	80,	7,1	8,7	E.	5,6	7,5	00	8 Q	7,6	9,6
Hardness control pr	e,	3,4	3,1	33	3,1	3,5	3,1	3,1	e E	φ. 6	3,1	6,6	37	3,5	<u>.</u> .	J.E
Hardness improvement: % HB 1	36%	41%	%19	39%	42%	54%	%89	45%	36%	29%	48%	36%	7.8	63%	65%	%89
Hardness topovenent: % HB 2	27%	85%	139%	112%	84%	%00)	119%	29%	164%	115%	% <u>18</u>	127%	223%	154%	213%	210%
Evaluation																
Odest	an odner an odner	and orderer	The order	an order	nopoloni	and ordering		an orders			- Contraction	madina h	į	low	<u>.</u>	and a
Colon			TPA-	mono on		and coom					income.	100		1		100
			SDIGS.					Sologi Sologi		Š	à	ð	matter	mannony	matrony	השוכפון

Table 4. Summary of treatments 17-25

		Step 2			Sign 3			Glen 4	
Treatment No:	7	E	19	80	17	A	23	24	22
Samples	medium	medium	medium	full c.s.	full 0.3.	full o.s.	full c.s.	full c.s.	full c.s.
Number	4	4	4						
Impregnating									
Type of wood	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech	Beech
Vacuum	0,05	0,05	. 500	0,05	0.05	0.05	. 0.05	0.03	0.05
Pressure	~	•	•			-			-
Time vacuum < 0,1 bar (min.)	14,4	10,9	11,2	16.1	17.5	11.2	20.3	18.2	22.8
Time pressure > 7 bar (min.)	6,3	25	0.9	7.7		4.9	50	7.5	<u>~</u>
tot time process (min.)	36,4	25,2	28,4	34.0	34.7	26.6	39.6	35.7	42.0
Corlng									ĵ
Heating source	oven	084	D.CO	OVE	1200		0,00		
Temperature 1	11	2	82	8	600	2	98	3	8
Temperature 2	٥	0	•	0	Ċ	; c	6	} c	} c
Time (emp I (timer)	18	90	90	77	50	2		7	. •
Time temp 2 (timer)	Φ	0	0	0	; c	•	0	ic	` c
Chemicals									
Vinyl-toluene	58,0%	58,0%	. 58,0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	28.0%	\$8.0%
Styreno	25,5%	25,5%	25,5%	25,5%	25,5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%	25.5%
	16,5%	16,5%	16,5%	16,5%	16,5%	16,5%	.16,5%	16.5%	16.5%
	%0'00I	£0,001	100,00%	100,0%	960'001	100,0%	100,0%	%0'001	100.0%
DVB (63%)	3,10%	3,10%	3,10%	3.10%	3,10%	3.10%	3,10%	3.10%	3.10%
1,1'-azobis (cyclohexane-	0,20%	0,20%	0,20%	0.20%	0,20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%
carbonitrile)				•	•			ļ	
DTBP (98%)	0,30%	0,30%	0,30%	0,30%	0,30%	0,30%	0,30%	0.30%	0.30%
2,2'-azobis (2,4 dimethyl-	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%	0,125%
Menurementer									
Moisture	11.5%	15%	13%	28%	2594	250	220%	33%	7926
weight (1) kg	80.6	4	4.33	20.2	77	212	43	46	1 P
weight (2) kg	80.5	. £9	6.07	30.5	30.5	28.5	57.5	? 55	28.5
weight (3) kg	5,47	5,78	5,72	28,6	28.4	263	54.5	55.5	8
Calculations									
Monomer loading	%0°19	50,0%	45,0%	65,0%	\$7,0%	43,0%	41,0%	35,0%	82,0%
Polymer loading	52,0%	40,0%	40,0%	57,0%	48,0%	34,0%	36,0%	31,0%	\$7,0%
Level of conversion	86,0%	79,0%	87,0%	87,0%	85,0%	79,0%	88,0%	91,0%	93,0%
Evaluation									
Odour ·	low.	how	No.	<u>s</u>	<u>₹</u>	low	wol	low	low
Colour	È	ŧ	Ę	ŧ	Age	ŧ	ĝ.	ŧ	đry
					Ž,	(m)	4	Í	

Monomer and polymer loading and % conversion of monomer to polymer Monomer and polymer loading, and conversion for the different treatments are given in Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7.

Table 5. Monomer and polymer loading and conversion of monomer to polymer for the small samples

reatment	Before imp.	After imp.	After curing	Me	ML	PL	Conversion
No	weight (g)	weight (g)	weight (g)	imp			
1	725	1015	787	18%	47%	28%	59%
	56	43	<i>32</i>	6%	11 %	4%	
2	775	1083	858	21%	49%	34%	70%
	42	50	42	8%	12%	10%	
3	756	1107	863	25%	58%	42%	73%
	41	34	49	9%	8%	10%	
4	819	1157	882	31 %	55%	42%	76%
	70	14	50	5%	13%	14%	•
5	742	1095	852	23%	59%	41%	71%
	40	33	59	6%	8%	13%	
6	7 94	1129	869	29%	55%	41%	75%
•	83	<i>15</i> .	<i>37</i>	11%	13%	10%	
7	735	1060	828	24%	55%	40%	73%
	71	65	40 /	8%	17%	11 %	:
8	×762	1096	846	24%	55%	38%	69%
	95	41	32	9%	15%	9%	
9	724	1040	850	18%	51%	38%	75%
	3 <i>1</i>	37	<i>37</i>	3%	8%	7%	
10	746	1061	870	16%	50%	36%	72 %
	61	,5 5	43	2%	15%	11%	
11	732	1074	862	21%	57%	42%	75%
	<i>34</i>	54	40	2%	13%	9%	
12	780	1115	876	25%	54%	41%	75%
	<i>39</i>	20	38	5%	9%	10%	
13	701	1071	928	16%	62%	54%	88%
	36	30	42	5%	9%	10%	
14	713	1073	938	16%	59%	52%	89%
	53	47	. 22	8%	9%	8%	
15	685	1022	888	16%	57%	51%	88%
	45	90	74	4%	20%	16%	,
16	713	1066	924	16%	58%	51%	88%
	74	44	37	6%	14%	11%	3076

Figures in Italics are the standard deviation of the measurement of six samples of each treatment.

MC: "moisture content". Calculated average moisture content (dry basis) of the samples before impregnation.

140 °C. It is expected that residual moisture is approximately 0 % after such a curing.

Conversion: % conversion of monomer to polymer.

ML: "monomer loading". Calculated monomer uptake in % of dry-weight of the wood material.

PL: "polymer loading". Calculated polymer uptake in % of dry-weight of the wood material after curing at

30

50

55

Table 6. Monomer and polymer loading and conversion of monomer to polymer for the medium-sized samples

Tı	reatment 8	Samp. We	ight before '	Weight after	Weight cured	MC	ML PL	70°C Conv.
	no.	по.	kg	kg	70°C			% mc)70°C
5	17	1B1	3,61	5,81	5,42	11 %	68%	59% 87%
		1B2	3,91	6,04	5,60	12%	61%	52% 85%
		1B3	4,02	6,0 6	5,62	12%	57%	48% 84%
		1B4	3,68	5,59	5,24	11%	57%	50% 87%
10		Average	3,81	5,88	5,47	11 %		52% 86%
	18	2B1	4,52	6,28	5,67	14%	44%	32% 73%
		2B2	4,61	6,49	5,89	18 %	48%	36% 75%
		2B3	4,48	6,38	5,87	16%	49%	39% 80%
16		2B4	3,97	6,05	5,68	11 %	58%	51 % 88%
15		Average	4,40	6,30	5,78	15%	50%	40% 79%
	19	3B1	4,31	5,57	5,22	13%	33%	27% 82%
		3B2	4,53	6,44	6,03	14%	48%	41% 86%
'nn		3B3	4,45	6,39	6,00	13%		43% 87%
20		3 B 4	4,02	5,89	5,61	10%	51%	47% 91%
		Average	4,33	6,07	5,72	13%	45%	40% 87%

No. of sample shows sleeper, section and location in the sleeper. Ex. 2B3 is a sample of sleeper no. 2, section B og board no. 3.

MC: "moisture content". Calculated average moisture content (dry basis) of the sample before impregnation.

ML: "monomer loading". Calculated monomer uptake in % of dry-weight of the wood material.

PL 70 °C. "polymer loading". Calculated polymer uptake in % of dry-weight of the wood material. The samples were cured at 70 °C.

The calculation assumes a 3 % moisture reduction during cure.

Conversion: % conversion of monomer to polymer.

Table 7. Monomer and polymer loading and conversion of monomer to polymer for the large samples

,	Treat, Length	Samp.	Weight l	beforeWeight aft	er Weight cu	red MC ML PL 70°C Conv.
40	No.	No.	kg	kg	kg	(3% mc)
40	20	lc	20,2	30,5	28,6	28% 65% 57% 87%
	21 80	2c	21,0	30,5	28,4	25% 57% 48% 85%
	22 cm	3c	21,2	28,5	26.3	25% 43% 34% 79%
	23	4c	43,0	57,5	54.5	22% 41% 36% 88%
	24 170	Sc	46,0	58,0	55.5	33% 35% 31% 91%
45	25 cm	6с	39,0	58,5	56,0	23% 62% 57% 93%

The three first samples had a length of about 80 cm and the three last samples had a length of about 170 cm. Sample number states sleeper and section. Ex. 4c, is a sample of sleeper no. 4, section C.

MC: "moisture content". Calculated average moisture content (dry basis) of the samples before impregnation.

ML: "monomer loading". Calculated monomer uptake in % f dry-weight of the wood material. PL 70 °C: "polymer loading". Calculated polymer uptake in % of dry-weight of the wood material. The samples were cured at 70 °C.

The calculation assumes a 3 % moisture reduction during cure.

Conversion: % conversion of monomer to polymer.

Hardness of wood and the wood-polymer composite made from it is given in Table 8.

Table 8. Changes in Brinell-scale (HB) hardness as a result of the treatments

Treatment	HB	HB	HB	% increase	% increase
No	Control	The surface	4 mm	HB-surface	HB - 4 mm
1	3,3	4,5	4,2	36%	26%
2	3,4	4,8	6,3	41%	85%
3	3,1	5,0	7,4	64%	141%
4	3,3	4,6	7.0	40%	115%
5	3,1	4,4	5,7	41%	80%
6	- 3,5	5,4	7,0	52%	97%
, 7	3, I	5,2	6,8	68%	119%
8	3, I	4,5	7,1	47%	133%
9	3,3	4,5	8,7	37%	162%
10	3,4	4,4	7,3	29%	115%
11	3,1	4,6	5,6	49%	81 %
12	3,3	4,5	7,5	38%	129%
13	3,1	5,3	10,0	67%	216%
14	3,5	5,7	8,9	62%	150%
15	3,1	5,1	9,7	65%	211%
16	, 3,I	5,2	9,6	70%	215%

PINE TIMBERS

5

Wood species: Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris)

Materials and methods

Ten sleepers were received and their moisture content (MC) and heartwood/sapwood location mapped for each sleeper. Each sleeper was weighed just before
impregnation, after impregnation and after curing. MC was measured by cutting
cores, separating them into increments, weighing each increment, ovendrying the
increments and weighing them again. This method gave MC at various positions
within each sleeper.

A 60 parts to 40 parts mixture of para-methyl styrene to styrene was the base monomer used. Based on the weight of this base monomer, the following ingredients were added: 20% mineral oil (reaction moderator and water repellant), 3,5% divinyl benzene (crosslinker), 0,5% 2,2'-azobis (2-methyl-butanenitrile) (low-temperature initiator), 0,2% 1,1'-azobis (cyclohexane-carbonitrile) (medium-temperature initiator) and 0,5% teriary butyl perbenzoate (high-temperature initiator).

Impregnation was carried out on all sleepers using vacuum for ½ hour, admitting monomer and then pressure of 5.3 bar. Pressure time for sleepers 1, 4 and 9 was ½ hour, for 2, 5, 6, 7 and 10 it was 1 hour and for sleeper 8 pressure time was 3 hours.

- Curing was carried out in a chamber 300 mm larger in all dimensions than a single sleeper. Temperature of the curing chamber, the surface of the sleeper and at locations within the sleeper was measured as curing took place. Sleepers were treated and cured individually, except for the last two, which were processed together throughout.
- Since rather severe internal checking ocurred in the sapwood portions of some of the first few sleepers treated, the curing temperature was controlled in some subsequent sleepers to try to decrease this checking.

After curing, cross-sections were cut from each sleeper in locatoions where temperature had been measured, and polymer content assessed for the section. MC of the heartwood was also measured at that time using cores. One sleeper was sawed longitudinally and densities were measured sequentially in sapwood, outer heartwood and inner heartwood for 1 m along the length.

Samples 50 mm square in cross section and 150 mm long were prepared from untreated sapwood and heartwood, from treated heartwood, and from treated sapwood with and without internal checking. They were loaded perpendicular to the grain, parallel to the annual rings (tangentially), which is the same direction a rail plate would load them. The testing machine recorded load and displacement (measured by LVDT) in a datalogger. The area was 50 mm by 50 mm, in the center of the sample.

25 Completed treatments and evaluations thereof

MC just before treating

Moisture contents determined at the time of treating are given in Table 9.

Table 9. Representative MC just before treatment

Sleeper	Surface	Mld Sap	Deep	Outer	Inner Heart
#			Sap	Heart	
1	27,7%	105,8%	122,7%	82,6%	30,3%
2	21,9%	30,6%	37,6%	28,8%	27,0%
3	18,5%	23,7%	25,6%	27,1%	25,1%
4	19,5%	33,5%	27,7%	26,2%	26,8%
5	24,8%	56,1%	33,0%	18,6%	28,8%
6	24,2%	33,6%	44,5%	32,6%	27,1%
7	20,8%	26,9%	28,1%	28,8%	29,1%
8	15,3%	16,3%	16,2%	24,2%	28,1%
9	19,4%	28,4%	26,7%	25,3%	27,6%
10	14,0%	16,6%	20,2%	23,5%	25,2%
Avg	20,6%	37,2%	38,2%	31,8%	27,5%

Sapwood penetration by monomer of all sleepers except number 1 was good because their MC was in the treatable range. Sapwood penetration of sleeper number 1 was limited to the drier shell, with the wetter sapwood not penetrated. Even so, overall uptake was similar to other sleepers.

Curing quality

10

All sleepers cured and there was virtually no odour after cooling to room temperature. Pre-existing surface checking expanded very little and no new checks appeared.

Monomer in heartwood cured. This was determined by lack of smell and hardness values equivalent to wood-polymer composites, and not the lower values of untreated wood.

Internal checks in sapwood were minimized when curing temperature was
moderated and when moisture content and sapwood depth were lower. The effect
of curing temperature is shown in Table 10.

Table 10. Maximum temperatures reached in sleeper, and effect on checking

Sleeper # (in sequence treated)	Surface	Shallow Sap	Deep Sap	Shallow Heart	Heart	Details	Checking
4	113,1	-	-	-	133,6		
9	107,2	119,5	-	-	98,5		
1	99,5	97,8	77,6	•	-	Sleeper mostly sapwood, limited penetration because of high MC	Little checking because of limited penetration
2	101,5	109,8	115,7	•	119,2		Considerable checking
7	111,4	115,3	125,1	•	98,6		Considerable checking
5	125,0	110,9	113,8	-	103,0		Considerable checking
8	99,6	99,6	98,1	•	87,5	Maximum temperature limited	Little checking
6	98,8	101,3	116,4	-	83,9	Cured with 10	Smaller and fewer checks
10	98,8	101,3	116,4	90,9	83,9	Maximum temperature limited, sleeper mostly heartwood	Smaller and fewer checks

Sleeper appearance was good and they were clean and able to be easily handled without skin protection.

Monomer and polymer loading

5 Table 11 summarizes the loading results.

Table 11. Monomer and polymer loading of treated sleepers

Sleeper#	Unloaded (kg)	Monomer Loading (kg)	Polymer Loaded (kg)	Weight Loss (kg)	Loss
1	55,57	24,04	21,77	2,27	9,4%
2	39,35	20,86	19,16	1,70	8,2%
4	39,55	21,46	20,1	1,36	6,3%
5	38,56	16,44	14,85	1,59	9,7%
6	47,74	21,09	19,28	1,81	8,6%
7	45,93	15,53	15,08	0,45	2,9%
8	49,10	14,63	13,04	1,59	10,9%
9	37,78	16,65	14,38	2,27	13,6%
10	46,38	11	9,98	1,02	9,3%
	Averages	17,97	16,40	1,56	8,8%

Average monomer loading of the nine sleepers was about 22 kg. The weight loss during cure was about 2 kg per sleeper or about 9% of the monomer weight. Since high temperatures, some above boiling point of water, were reached during cure, some of the weight loss must be water. Thus the 2 kg weight loss from a sleeper is partly water and partly monomer. If it were all monomer loss, another way to express would be that the monomer to polymer conversion was 91%, which is slightly higher than for the best beech sleeper treatment.

Loading of sapwood, heartwood and different locations and MCs

Polymer loading for different parts of the sleepers were calculated from density

measurements made from pieces cut from them. Nearly all available space was
filled by polymer in sapwood, giving densities just greater than 1 g/cc (average
1.03 g/cc). Heartwood loading was greatest near sleeper ends and around cracks,
and decreased with distance from the sleeper end, becoming zero about 30 cm from
the end. Polymer in heartwood was mostly in latewood and maximum loadings
were near 50% of available space (average density of 0.72 g/cc).

Any sapwood below about 30% MC treated well and all heartwood MC was suitable for treating when the sleepers were received.

Quality

30

There were surface checks present before treatment. These enlarged slightly during treatment. Sapwood shrunk somewhat more than heartwood, producing some rounded (2 to 3 mm) surfaces. No warping occured. Sleeper appearance was good.

Compression strength and stiffness

The values of untreated and treated sleepers are reported in Table 12.

Table 12. Stiffness and yield stress (MPa), density (g/cc) and polymer uptake of WPC and the untreated wood from which it was made

Treatment	Yield stress	MOE	Density	Uptake
Untreated pine heartwood	6	409	0,48	-
Untreated pine sapwood	5	991	0,54	_
Pine heartwood WPC	12	1058	0,74	51%
Pine sapwood WPC	50	3087	1,04	100%
Checked pine sapwood WPC	17	960	1,02	98%

Since stiffness (MOE) and yield stress (load at which the material begins to crush) are mostly determined by earlywood properties when loading is in the tangential direction, and since heartwood WPC polymer is found mostly in latewood, it is expected that heartwood WPC properties would be similar to untreated wood as is

indicated in the table. The high loading of sapwood WPC (reflected in high densities) increased strength and stiffness greatly. Sapwood yield stress and MOE values are about the same as values for treated hardwoods like birch.

These results indicate that resistance to compressive loading and to mechanical
wear should be noticeably better in WPC sleepers than in the wood from which it
was made.